European Myeloma Network Guidelines for the Management of Multiple Myeloma-related Complications
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ABSTRACT

The European Myeloma Network provides recommendations for the management of the most common complications of multiple myeloma. Whole body low-dose computed tomography is more sensitive than conventional radiography in depicting osteolytic disease and thus we recommend it as the novel standard for the detection of lytic lesions in myeloma (grade 1A). Myeloma patients with adequate renal function and bone disease at diagnosis should be treated with zoledronic acid or pamidronate (grade 1A). Symptomatic patients without lytic lesions on conventional radiography can be treated with zoledronic acid (grade 1B), but its advantage is not clear for patients with no bone involvement on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. In asymptomatic myeloma, bisphosphonates are not recommended (grade 1A). Zoledronic acid should be given continuously, but it is not clear if patients who achieve at least a very good partial response benefit from its continuous use (grade 1B). Treatment with erythropoietic-stimulating agents may be initiated in patients with persistent symptomatic anemia (hemoglobin <10g/dL) in whom other causes of anemia have been excluded (grade 1B). Erythropoietic agents should be stopped after 6-8 weeks if no adequate hemoglobin response is achieved. For renal impairment, bortezomib-based regimens are the current standard of care (grade 1A). For the management of treatment-induced peripheral neuropathy, drug modification is needed (grade 1C). Vaccination against influenza is recommended; vaccination against streptococcus pneumonia and hemophilus influenza is appropriate, but efficacy is not guaranteed due to suboptimal immune response (grade 1C). Prophylactic aciclovir (or valacyclovir) is recommended for patients receiving proteasome inhibitors, autologous or allogeneic transplantation (grade 1A).

Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is characterized by bone destruction, anemia, renal and immunological impairment. These complications may lead to severe impairment of the quality of life of myeloma patients and may deteriorate their life expectancy. Therefore, prophylaxis and supportive treatment for osteolytic disease, pain, anemia, renal insufficiency, infections, pain, thromboembolic events and peripheral neuropathy are essential for the management of myeloma patients. The aim of this paper of the European Myeloma Network (EMN) is to provide useful guidelines for the management of the most common myeloma-related complications.

Methods

An interdisciplinary panel of myeloma experts on behalf of the EMN reviewed all published randomized clinical studies, guidelines, meta-analyses, systematic reviews, observational studies and case reports on the management of complications in MM. The research was performed in PubMed and ISI until the 28th August 2014. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system was used for the grading of recommendations (Table 1). In cases of lack of sufficient data, an expert consensus was used to develop recommendations. The paper was circulated among the panel members; initial discussion took place at the
Bone disease

Osteolytic bone disease is one of the most prominent features of myeloma, and is present in up to 80% of patients at diagnosis. Bone destruction leads to skeletal-related events (SREs), i.e. vertebral and other pathological fractures, a need for radiotherapy or surgery to the bone and/or spinal cord compression. It is mainly due to an increased osteoclastic activity which is accompanied by low osteoblastic function. Bisphosphonates, radiotherapy, balloon kyphoplasty and surgery are the main therapies used for the management of bone disease in MM.

Imaging for the diagnosis and follow up of myeloma patients

Skeletal survey based on conventional radiography (WBXR) is currently considered to be the standard technique for the detection of lytic lesions in MM patients and is recommended for the detection of bone disease in the CRAB criteria that are used for the definition of myeloma defining events. However, novel techniques can detect more lytic lesions compared to conventional radiography. Whole-body, multi-detector, low-dose computed tomography (WBLD-CT) is more sensitive for the detection of lytic lesions in myeloma compared to conventional radiography, it is very easy to perform (the examination is performed in 2 min or less), it has a more accurate evaluation of areas with instability or at risk of fracture, and is superior regarding the planning for radiotherapy or surgical interventions.

Similarly, positron emission tomography in combination with CT (PET/CT) is superior to conventional radiography in the detection of lytic disease, while whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) accurately depicts the marrow involvement in MM patients. We stress that MRI depicts bone marrow involvement, while CT and skeletal survey reveals lytic lesions. However, there are some issues that need to be clarified when using such sensitive techniques. For example, the issue of the importance of the detection of 2 or 3 small lesions of 3-4 mm of diameter by WBLD-CT or by MRI or PET/CT in a patient with no CRAB criteria has not yet been solved (i.e. whether this patient will develop symptomatic disease earlier than those without such lesions). Furthermore, we do not know the prognostic value of the WBCT, i.e. what the difference is between an MM patient with 4 lytic lesions detected by conventional radiography and another patient with 14 lytic lesions detected by WBLD-CT. Although these questions have not been conclusively answered, data so far support the substitution of conventional radiography by WBLD-CT for the detection of lytic disease in MM. Positive lesions in WBLD-CT are considered those with a diameter of 5 mm or more.

Regarding the definition of myeloma defining events, there are important studies which suggest that asymptomatic patients with more than 1 focal lesions on MRI have a higher risk (more than 70% within 2 years) for progression to symptomatic myeloma. These patients need to be treated as having symptomatic disease. Furthermore, MRI correlates with survival in myeloma patients. PET/CT findings have also been correlated with response to therapy and survival. Prospective comparisons between MRI and PET/CT in patients with asymptomatic disease have not yet been published. In symptomatic patients there is evidence that MRI achieves better results than PET/CT in the staging and disease recurrence, while PET/CT has shown faster changes in imaging findings than MRI in patients who respond to therapy. However, in the post-treatment setting, MRI may often be false positive because of persistent non-viable lesions and thus PET/CT might be more suitable for the determination of remission status.

Recommendation: WBLD-CT is the novel standard procedure for the diagnosis of lytic disease in patients with MM (grade 1A). Conventional radiography can also be used if WBLD-CT is not available. In asymptomatic patients with no lytic disease in WBLD-CT, whole body MRI (or spine and pelvic MRI if WB-MRI is not available) has to be performed and in the presence of more than 1 focal lesion the patients are characterized as having symptomatic disease that needs therapy (grade 1A). PET/CT may be useful for the better definition of complete or stringent complete response (CR or sCR) and for the progression of the disease (grade 2B). Figure 1 presents the imaging algorithm which is proposed by the EMN for use in myeloma-related bone disease.

Bisphosphonates

Based on phase III studies, both pamidronate and zoledronic acid (ZA) have been found to reduce SREs compared to placebo. There are only three randomized studies comparing the effect of two different bisphosphonates (BP) or two different dosages of the same BP. In the first study, ZA was as effective as pamidronate in reducing SREs in the era of conventional chemotherapy. In the second, two doses of intravenous pamidronate (50 mg vs.
90 mg, monthly) had comparable results regarding time to SRE and SRE-free survival time.20 The major flaw of this study was that it was powered to show differences in quality of life and not in SREs.20 Finally, the third study, which compared intravenous ZA with oral clodronate, found that ZA reduced the SRE risk compared to clodronate in all patients, irrespective of the presence of lytic lesions at diagnosis, but furthermore, improved overall survival (OS) by ten months in MM patients with lytic lesions at diagnosis.21,22 These effects continued in patients who received ZA for more than two years.23 However, there was no sub-analysis according to the response status of the patients, and thus it is not clear if the continuous use of ZA produces similar results in patients who have achieved a CR, sCR, very good partial response (VGPR) or PR. A recent meta-analysis was not able to confirm superiority of ZA over pamidronate, but remarkably revealed a survival advantage of ZA versus placebo.24 This analysis also showed that in order to prevent one SRE, we need to treat 6-15 MM patients with BP.

Recommendations: all MM patients with adequate renal function (creatinine clearance >30 mL/min) and osteolytic disease at diagnosis should be treated with ZA (4 mg, over an at least 15-min infusion, every 3-4 weeks) or pamidronate (90 mg, in a 2-4-h infusion, every 3-4 weeks), intravenously, in addition to specific anti-myeloma therapy (grade 1A). Symptomatic patients, without bone disease assessed by conventional radiography, can be treated with ZA (grade 1B). The advantage is not clear for patients without bone involvement on MRI or PET/CT. In asymptomatic MM, BPs are not recommended (grade 1A); in cases of osteoporosis or vertebral fractures that are not due to myeloma, bisphosphonates should be given in asymptomatic patients with doses as given for osteoporosis (i.e. 5 mg ZA per year). ZA should be given continuously (grade 1B). However, it is currently unknown whether patients who achieve VGPR or better have benefits from the continuous use of ZA. Regarding pamidronate, there are no data to support its continuous use; thus it should be given for two years and then at the physician’s discretion (grade 2C).

Side-effects of bisphosphonates and their management

Side-effects of intravenous BPs include acute phase reactions, inflammatory reactions at the injection site, hypocalcemia, hypophosphatemia, renal impairment (RI) and osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ).25-27 For the prevention of hypocalcemia, all patients under BPs should receive calcium and vitamin D3 supplementation (600 mg calcium per day and 400 IU vitamin D3 per day); interestingly, approximately 60% of myeloma patients are vitamin D-deficient or -insufficient.25 The treating physicians are encouraged to perform vitamin D measurements at least once a year and manage their patients accordingly.

RI due to acute tubular damage and deterioration of renal function can be observed with both pamidronate and ZA, but the true incidence of this adverse event remains unknown, as RI is also a common complication of MM.21,25,26 Thus patients with moderate RI need dose reductions of ZA, according to the summary of product characteristics of the drug.25 Regarding pamidronate, its elimination is slower when the CrCl is below 30 mL/min.26

ONJ is an uncommon but sometimes severe complica-
tion of BP. Retrospective studies suggest that ONJ is observed more often with ZA, after dental procedures, and is associated with the prolonged administration of the BP.27 It seems that the use of preventive dental measures leads to the reduction of ONJ incidence.29 There are conflicting recommendations regarding precautions before dental extraction in patients who are treated with BP. The most recent American Dental Association (ADA) recommendations do not support the discontinuation of BP in these cases in the absence of any convincing data and because BP remain in the bones for years.29 However the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) guidelines suggest the temporary discontinuation of BP for 90 days before and after invasive dental procedures.25

Recommendations: for the prevention of hypocalcemia, calcium and vitamin D3 supplementation should be given in all patients under intravenous BP (grade 1A). The treating physicians are encouraged to perform vitamin D measurements at least once a year and manage their patients accordingly. Renal function should be closely monitored by measuring CrCl, serum electrolytes and urinary albumin in all patients under BP therapy; CrCl should be evaluated before the administration of each intravenous infusion (grade 1A). Patients with CrCl 30-60 mL/min should receive reduced doses of ZA with no change to infusion time (grade 1A), while pamidronate should be given via 4-h infusion (grade 1C). Pamidronate and ZA should not be given in patients with CrCl less than 30 mL/min (grade 1A); alternatively clodronate can be given in patients with a CrCl more than 12 mL/min (grade 2C). Treatment with BP should be discontinued if a patient experiences deterioration of renal function until CrCl returns to within 10% of baseline values (grade 1B). Patients on chronic dialysis without possibility of renal failure reversal should also receive monthly BPs (grade 2C); treating physicians should closely monitor these patients due to high risk for hypocalcemia. For all other patients on dialysis, BPs should be avoided until their independence from dialysis and the reversal of RI to CrCl more than 30 mL/min (grade 2C). Before BP administration, patients should have a thorough dental examination and all major dental problems (i.e. dental extractions or other traumatic dental procedures) should be resolved (grade 2C). In cases of ONJ, BP should be discontinued and can later be re-administered if ONJ has healed, at the physician’s discretion (grade 2C).

**Denosumab:** denosumab has not yet been licensed for myeloma patients. A large phase III study comparing denosumab with ZA is ongoing. Denosumab can currently be given in myeloma patients only in the rare cases of resistant hypercalcemia to BPs.

**Radiotherapy:** radiotherapy is mainly used in the cases of solitary plasmacytoma, symptomatic spinal cord compression, extremely painful lytic lesions and for the prevention of pathological fractures. For painful osteolytic lesions, a dose of 3000 cGy in 10-15 fractions is usually adequate. Radiotherapy may cause delays in applying systemic anti-myeloma therapies with radiosensitizing drugs, such as anthracycline and proteasome inhibitors.

**Balloon kyphoplasty and vertebroplasty:** these techniques are mainly used for the management of painful vertebral compression fractures, where almost 80% of patients with pain, non-responsive to pain killers, experience pain relief.69 All recent data, including a phase III study and a large meta-analysis, suggest that balloon kyphoplasty is the treatment of choice for the reduction of pain due to cancer-related vertebral fractures and is associated with reduced rates of cement leakage66-68 (grade 1A).

**Surgery:** the administration of very effective novel anti-myeloma regimens has reduced the need for surgery during the last decade. Currently, surgery should be used in the following cases: i) to fix pathological fractures of the long bones; ii) to prevent and restore axial skeleton in cases of unstable spinal fractures; and iii) for spinal cord compression with bone fragments within the spinal route (grade 2C).

**Anemia**

Anemia, which is usually normochromic and normocytic, is another common complication of MM. It is present in approximately 75% of patients at diagnosis26 and in almost all patients with uncontrolled disease. Several factors contribute to the development of anemia in MM patients: the BM infiltration by the myeloma itself leads to reduced numbers of erythroid precursors, erythropoietin deficiency (in patients with RI), decreased responsiveness of the pro-erythroblasts and CFU-E cells to erythropoietin, impaired iron utilization due to increased production of

---

**Table 2. Incidence of adverse events in multiple myeloma patients treated with different therapy regimens.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimens</th>
<th>Neutropenia (%)</th>
<th>VTE (%)</th>
<th>PN (%)</th>
<th>Infection (%)</th>
<th>SPM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPT</td>
<td>16-48</td>
<td>3-12</td>
<td>6-23</td>
<td>4-28</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMP</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMPT</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTP</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPR</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hepcidin because of chronic inflammation, and paraprotein-induced increase of the plasma volume.\textsuperscript{33} However, the major cause of anemia in myeloma is the induction of apoptosis of erythroblast by myeloma cells.\textsuperscript{34} Furthermore, anti-myeloma therapy and radiotherapy can either cause anemia or exacerbate pre-existing anemia.\textsuperscript{35}

Red blood cell transfusions are helpful for patients who need rapid improvement of their anemic condition. Moreover, several prospective studies have shown that erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs), such as erythropoietin (Epo)-\(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) as well as darbepoietin are able to increase hemoglobin (Hb) levels by 2 g/dL or more in 60\% to 75\% of myeloma patients with symptomatic anemia. ESAs mainly reduce transfusion requirements and improve quality of life.\textsuperscript{36,37} Predictors of response to ESAs include the ratio of observed to expected Hb (<0.9) and the preserved BM function, reflected by the platelet counts (>150x10^{10}/L).\textsuperscript{33,30,41} A systematic review of the use of ESAs in more than 20,000 cancer patients confirmed that their use reduced the relative risk of transfusions due to increase of erythroid responses, but there was evidence that ESAs increased mortality during ESA administration and thus decreased OS.\textsuperscript{42} Although anemia is common in MM patients, no clear consensus exists as to the use and impact of ESAs on outcome in MM and randomized studies in MM patients are still limited. However, one randomized study (VISTA sub-analysis) showed no evidence of inferior outcome after ESA treatment, albeit patient numbers were very limited and, therefore, statistically under-powered.\textsuperscript{43} The most recent guidelines from the American Society of Hematology (ASH) and American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) recommend the administration of ESAs at the lowest possible dose to avoid transfusions. In case of iron deficiency, which is indicated by low transferrin satu-

Table 3. Management of hematologic and non-hematologic complication in myeloma patients treated with novel agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>MM agents</th>
<th>AE grade</th>
<th>Dose recommendations</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>L-based</td>
<td>Uncomplicated grade 4 (ANC: 500/mm^3) or grade 2–3 (ANC: 500-1000/mm^3) complicated by infection</td>
<td>25–50% reduction</td>
<td>G-CSF until neutrophil recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>B- and L-based</td>
<td>Grade 2–4 (Hb ≤ 10 g/dL)</td>
<td>25–50% reduction (only grade 3-4)</td>
<td>Erythropoietin or darbepoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone disease</td>
<td>Analgesics to treat uncontrolled pain, low-dose radiotherapy of limited involved fields should be used in case of pain not responding to therapy. Bisphosphonates for preventing and management of SREs. Monitoring of renal function is mandatory.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal toxicity</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>CrCL: 30–60 mL/min</td>
<td>10 mg/d</td>
<td>Correct precipitant factors: dehydration, hypercalcemia, hyperuricemia, urinary infections, and concomitant use of nephrotoxic drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>Thal-based</td>
<td>Grade 2 PN</td>
<td>50% dose reduction (from 100 mg to 50 mg) Treatment discontinuation until PN resolves to grade 1; restart at 50% dose reduction</td>
<td>Neurological assessment before and during treatment; consider symptomatic treatment with gabapentin, pregabalin, vitamin B complex,amitryptilin, or L-carnitin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>B-based</td>
<td>Grade 1 with pain or grade 2 PN</td>
<td>25–50% dose reduction: 1.3 mg/m^2 once per week reduced to 1.0 mg/m^2 + subs. to 0.7 mg/m^2; (s.c preferred)</td>
<td>Dose interruption until PN resolves to grade 1 or more; restart at 50% dose reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td>B-/L-or Thal-based</td>
<td>Grade 3–4</td>
<td>25–50% reduction</td>
<td>Trimetoprin-cotrimoxazole for PJP during HD-Dex.; aciclovir or valacyclovir for HVZ prophylaxis during PI-based therapy; consider antibiotic prophylaxis, seasonal influenza vaccination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolism</td>
<td>Thal + L-based</td>
<td>All grades</td>
<td>Temporary drug discontinuation and full anticoagulation, then validate re-treatment</td>
<td>0-1 individual risk: aspirin 100 mg; ≥2 individuals risk or HD-Dex/ multiagent CTx; LMWH or full-dose warfarin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MM: multiple myeloma; AE: adverse event; B-based: bortezomib-based; CTx: chemotherapy; Dex: dexamethasone; HD-Dex: high-dose dexamethasone; Hb: hemoglobin; HVZ: herpes varicella-zoster; L-based: lenalidomide-based; LMWH: low-molecular-weight heparin; PI: proteasome inhibitor; PJP: Pneumocystis jirovecii; PN: peripheral neuropathy; Thal-based: thalidomide-based; SREs: skeletal-related events, s.c.: subcutaneous (adapted according Gay et al.\textsuperscript{78} and Metha et al.\textsuperscript{85}).
ration (<20%) and/or high numbers of hypochromic red cells (>5%), the iron should be given intravenously.\textsuperscript{44} Important side-effects of ESAs include thromboembolic complications, hypertension and possibly increased mortality.\textsuperscript{42,45} Here, it is important to stress that nowadays, with very effective combination therapies that rapidly control the disease, the systematic need of ESAs in myeloma is a subject of debate.

Recommendations: treatment with ESAs may be initiated in patients with persistent symptomatic anemia (usually Hb levels <10 g/dL) in whom other causes of anemia (i.e. iron or B12 deficiency, hemolysis, etc.) have been excluded (grade 1B). The standard dose of Epo-\(\alpha\) is 40,000 U/week, of Epo-\(\beta\) 30,000 U/week and of darbepoetin 150 \(\mu\)g/week or 500 \(\mu\)g every three weeks. Hb levels should not increase more than 12 g/dL. ESAs should be stopped after 6-8 weeks if adequate Hb response is not achieved. True or functional iron deficiency during treatment with an ESA should be treated with intravenous iron (grade 1A).

**Renal Impairment**

**Incidence and assessment of renal impairment**

Mild renal impairment (RI) (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] <60 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\)), if carefully monitored, can be observed in at least 25%-50% of patients during the course of their disease.\textsuperscript{46} The pathophysiology of RI in MM is complex and associated with various underlying processes. The principal renal mechanism is tubulointerstitial lesions, such as cast nephropathy, a direct consequence of the high serum concentration of immunoglobulin free light chains (SFLCs; Figure 2), characterized by tubular atrophy and tubular-interstitial fibrosis and the most frequent (approx. 90%) form of renal damage.\textsuperscript{47-51} SFLCs can also cause functional impairment, resulting in Fanconi’s syndrome, characterized by failure of the reabsorptive capacity of the proximal renal tubules resulting in glucosuria, aminoaciduria, hypophosphatemia and...

---

*Figure 2. Mechanisms of FLC-induced acute kidney injury. Serum FLCs are primarily cleared by the kidneys through glomerular filtration, endocytosed by the proximal tubule cells and degraded within lysosomes.\textsuperscript{45} In MM, the Ig light chains are produced in excess and absorption mechanisms in the proximal tubule are overwhelmed. Thus, the excessive light chains reach the distal tubules, where they form tubular casts with Tamm-Horsfall protein (THP), subsequently leading to tubular obstruction.\textsuperscript{51} Additionally, excess FLCs can cause direct injury to proximal tubular cells through the induction of pro-inflammatory cytokine production and other pathways leading to tubular cell death.\textsuperscript{52} The very high concentrations of FLCs present in the ultrafiltrate of patients with MM can result in direct injury to proximal tubular cells. Activation of redox pathways occurs, with increased expression of NF\(\kappa\)B and MAPK, which in turn leads to the transcription of both inflammatory and profibrotic cytokine. In the distal tubules, FLCs can bind to a specific binding domain on THPs and co-precipitate to form casts. These casts result in tubular atrophy proximal to the cast and lead to progressive interstitial inflammation and fibrosis. CCL2: hemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2; CDR: complementarity determining region; FLC: free light chain; IL: interleukin; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; NF\(\kappa\)B: nuclear factor \(\kappa\)B; PTC: proximal tubule kidneys; TGF-\(\beta\): transforming growth factor \(\beta\); THP: Tamm-Horsfall protein (adapted to Hutchison et al.\textsuperscript{49}).*
Moreover, deposition of monoclonal light chains can occur in several organs (kidney, heart, liver, small intestine), leading to the development of amyloid light chain (AL) amyloidosis or light chain deposition disease (LCDD). A variety of other nephrotoxic processes may also contribute to renal damage, including dehydration, hypercalcemia, infections, amyloidosis, and concomitant exposure to nephrotoxic medications, such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.46,53

According to Durie & Salmon staging system, serum creatinine-levels of 2 mg/dL or more (sCr) define RI and represent one of the ‘CRAB’ diagnostic criteria for symptomatic MM.54 However, serum creatinine is not a suitable factor for the reflection of GFR.54-55 Therefore predictive of GFR equations based on serum creatinine [Cockcroft-Gault equation and the Modification of Diet in renal Disease (MDRD)] are often used to define the degree of RI.46,55,56 The IMWG has recommended the use of the MDRD equation for the estimation of GFR in MM patients,56 and the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification for the classification of RI in MM patients.57 Recently, the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formulas, with or without cystatin C, has been used for the accurate detection of manifest RI,58,59 and especially the CKD-EPI-cystatin C equation seems to provide an independent prognostic value, which needs to be further elucidated and formally compared to currently used equations both in newly diagnosed and relapsed patients.56 The improved prognostic ability and more sensible detection of RI by the CDK-EPI compared to the MDRD estimation therefore enlarges the arsenal of eGFR formulas and should lead to a broader use of CKD-EPI formulas for the estimation of GFR in patients with myeloma.59,60

Supportive care and mechanical approaches

MM patients with RI at presentation should be considered a medical emergency. Management of patients with RI include adequate hydration, urine alkalinization, and treatment off hypercalcemia. High fluid intake alone will at best reduce the urine concentration of the pathogenic light chains and should be combined with prompt anti-myeloma therapy, including agents without nephrotoxic potency. Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) has been suggested to impact the outcome of the renal failure by promoting rapid reduction in the levels of free light chain,60 but its role remains controversial.61 The largest prospective randomized trial performed so far found no impact of TPE on the composite end point of death, dialysis dependence, and GFR less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m² in MM patients with RI.62 A small series of 14 patients with confirmed or presumed cast nephropathy treated with bortezomib, dexamethasone, and TPE reported normalization of serum creatinine in 43% of patients.63 A definitive answer on the role of TPE in MM patients with RI will require larger prospective trials with, for example, proteasome-inhibitor-based uniform pharmacological therapy. The removal of FLCs with dialysis is another method. The issue of whether an extended duration of dialysis with high cut off dialyzers is more effective than plasma exchange at removing FLCs or reversing renal failure is not established but should be solved in the near future and this method can also be combined with bortezomib or other anti-myeloma therapies.64,65

Antimyeloma therapy in multiple myeloma patients with renal impairment

High-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell
Peripheral neuropathy

Peripheral neuropathy (PN) is a significant complication of MM that can be caused by the disease itself or by certain therapies, including thalidomide- and bortezomib-based therapies. Thorough clinical evaluation has shown that up to 20% of MM patients have PN at diagnosis and up to

Table 4. Total Neuropathy Scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensory symptoms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Symptoms limited to fingers or toes</td>
<td>Symptoms extend to ankle or wrist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor symptoms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Slight difficulty</td>
<td>Moderate difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of autonomic symptoms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pin sensibility</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Reduced in fingers and/or toes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration sensibility</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Reduced in fingers and/or toes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Mild weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon reflex</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Ankle reflex reduced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vibration sensation (QST vibration)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sural amplitude**</td>
<td>Normal or reduced to ≤5% of LLN</td>
<td>76-95% of LLN</td>
<td>51-75% of LLN</td>
<td>26-50% of LLN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal amplitude**</td>
<td>Normal or reduced to ≤5% of LLN</td>
<td>76-95% of LLN</td>
<td>51-75% of LLN</td>
<td>26-50% of LLN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Used only in whole Total Neuropathy Score. **Used only in the Total Neuropathy Score-reduced. QST: quantitative sensory test; ULN: upper limit of normal; LLN: lower limit of normal.

Score 0 is suggestive of no peripheral neuropathy (PN); score 1-9 is suggestive of mild PN; score 10-19 defines moderate PN, while score ≥20 defines severe PN.

transplantation (ASCT) may be performed in patients with severe RI or under dialysis using melphalan at a reduced dose (140 mg/m²) albeit immediately reconstitution of RI is essential and ASCT may not always be readily applicable; therefore, immediate initiation of effective chemotherapies is recommended.64 Therapy with bortezomib-based regimens plus high-dose dexamethasone (either alone or with the addition of a third agent such as thalidomide (VTD), doxorubicin (PAD) or cyclophosphamide (VCD)) should be used as first choice.66 The prospective, randomized phase III (HOVON-65/GMMG-HD4) trial, including 81 of 827 patients with RI (serum-creatinine ≥2 mg/dL) and investigating PAD versus VAD followed by ASCT and maintenance with thalidomide or bortezomib, showed a substantially improved OS at three years for the patients with serum-creatinine of 2 mg/dL or more of 74% with PAD-ASCT-bortezomib versus 34% with VAD-ASCT-thalidomide (P<0.001).67 In addition, it was also worthy of note that both OS and progression-free (PFS) survival were similar with base-line serum-creatinine of 2 mg/dL or more or less than 2 mg/dL in the PAD-ASCT-bortezomib arm. These results indicated that bortezomib-containing treatment before and after ASCT may overcome the negative prognostic impact of RI.68 In elderly or comorbid patients with RI, the combination with bortezomib with melphalan and prednisone (VMP) may be preferred.69 The second proteasome inhibitor which has been licensed for MM, carfilzomib, has also shown encouraging results in a small phase II study with 38 relapsed/refractory patients with RI (3 on chronic dialysis); responses were similar among patients with different severity of renal dysfunction.70 More studies are needed to reveal the role of carfilzomib in RI.

Immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs) can also be administered in myeloma patients with RI. Thalidomide and lenalidomide are very effective especially in patients with mild to moderate RI; lenalidomide should be used with the recommended reduced doses based on renal function.56,69 Finally, responses to the combination of pomalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone were similar between patients with relapsed/refractory myeloma, irrespective of renal function.70 Bendamustine in combination with thalidomide or bortezomib and prednisone is also feasible and safe, even in patients with end-stage renal disease.71,72 Analyses of newly diagnosed MM patients with at least moderate renal dysfunction showed that bortezomib-based regimens were the most effective in the reversibility of renal function. Time to major renal response (renalCR or renalPR) for thalidomide, bortezomib and lenalidomide-based regimes was 2, 1.12 and 1.25 months, respectively.73 Recommendations: every myeloma patient with RI needs a thorough workup for the determination of the cause of RI (Figure 3). For the evaluation of RI, the MDRD formula is recommended in patients with stabilized serum creatinine. Patients with renal failure should be classified according to the KDIGO classification (grade 1B). Novel formulas, such as the CKD-EPI, with or without cystatin C, should be further assessed in clinical trials and in large patient cohorts to evaluate their utility and prognostic impact. Available data support the safety and efficacy of bortezomib-based therapies in MM patients with RI and thus bortezomib combined with dexamethasone (with or without thalidomide, doxorubicin or cyclophosphamide) is the recommended treatment (grade 1A). Lenalidomide is a feasible and effective therapy option with mild to moderate RI and is recommended with dose adjustment according to renal function (grade 1B).
75% may experience treatment-emergent PN during therapy.\textsuperscript{12} MM-associated PN is primarily sensory or sensorimotor, and symptoms are predominantly symmetric, including paraesthesia, numbness, burning sensation and weakness, often with mild intensity, but rarely with the potency to be inactivating or life-threatening. Treatment-induced PN symptoms are usually symmetric and distal with some differences among therapies.\textsuperscript{26} PN from thalidomide is cumulative, dose dependent and often permanent, and may also occur after treatment has already stopped.\textsuperscript{27} Bortezomib-induced PN is related to dose, schedule and mode of administration and is mostly reversible. Symptoms of bortezomib-induced PN may start distally and may progress proximally.\textsuperscript{28} A randomized trial of subcutaneous compared to intravenous administration of bortezomib showed a significant decrease in PN of all grades (38% vs. 53%) and grade 3 or 4 (6% vs. 16%) with the former, leading to its universal use.\textsuperscript{29} Based on these data, the up-dated US prescribing information added that starting subcutaneous bortezomib may be considered for patients with pre-existing PN or at high-risk of PN (Table 5). The incidence of treatment-emergent PN with the newer proteasome inhibitors is relatively low. Experience from 526 relapsed/refractory MM patients in 4 phase II studies with carfilzomib reported an overall incidence of PN of 13.9%, grade 3 PN of 1.3% and no grade 4 or more; moreover all of the grade 3 PN occurred in patients with grade 1 or 2 at baseline.\textsuperscript{30}

Careful attention to the development of PN is essential, while patients are on therapy and prompt dose reductions are required. Lower doses of bortezomib, weekly administration or different schedules (4- instead of 3-week cycles) may also be used. Regular monitoring for treatment-emergent PN, sensible detection and intervention are relevant to prevent the development of more severe PN (Table 3).\textsuperscript{31} The NCI CTC definition of PN is commonly used in clinical routine, but should be used with neuropathy-specific patient-completed questionnaires, such as the whole or the reduced Total Neuropathy Score (Table 4).\textsuperscript{32} However, a need remains for more sensitive assessment tools that focus on MM patients with PN.

Several interventions have been investigated for treatment-induced PN, but prospective analyses are lacking. Acetil-L-carnitine and alpha lipoic acid has shown activity in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced PN.\textsuperscript{33} Although the neuropathic pain may often be poorly responsive to standard analgesic treatment, opioids can be effective, which should be combined with other pain modulating drugs.\textsuperscript{34} In addition, calcium channel blocker (e.g. gabapentin and pregabalin), sodium channel blockers, such as oxcarbazepine and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (e.g. duloxetine) can be very effective, especially in painful PN.\textsuperscript{35} Recent studies in a mouse model with anti-TNF-α showed protection against neuropathy induced by bortezomib, but future studies are essential to elucidate the etiopathogenesis of neuropathy, the role of TNF-α pathway and how bortezomib differentially regulates NF-κB in tumoral and neuronal cells.\textsuperscript{36}

Recommendations: in the treatment for chemotherapy-induced PN, prevention is a key strategy for patients’ quality of life and ongoing treatment options (grade 2C). All MM patients with potential neurotoxic drugs should be routinely and clinically assessed for signs of PN before undergoing treatment; it is advisable that PN is graded with validated tools, such as the Total Neuropathy Score (grade 2C). The use for dose modifications for the management of bortezomib- or thalidomide-induced PN remains the ‘gold standard’ of care (grade 1C). Reduction of PN induced by bortezomib can be achieved by: a) prompt dose modification (1.3→1.0→0.7 mg/m²); b) once a week instead of twice weekly application; and c) subcutaneous rather than intravenous administration (Table 3).

Infections

Myeloma is associated with increased rate of infections, which is the main cause of death for myeloma patients. A recent population-based study on 9253 myeloma patients showed that the risk of developing a bacterial infection was 7-fold higher and for viral infections 10-fold higher compared to healthy individuals of the same sex and age. At one year of follow up, infection was the underlying cause in 22% of deaths in MM patients.\textsuperscript{37} Haemophilus influenzae, streptococcus pneumoniae, Gram negative bacilli and viruses (influenza and herpes zoster) are the most frequent causes of infection in myeloma patients.\textsuperscript{38}

The increased susceptibility of patients to infections results from the myeloma itself, therapies and/or age- and disease-related conditions. Myeloma-related innate immunodeficiency involves various parts of the immune system and includes B-cell dysfunction as well as functional abnormalities of dendritic-, T- and natural killer (NK)-cells.\textsuperscript{39} Myeloma- and treatment-associated organ dysfunction, such as renal and/or pulmonary impairment, alimentary mucosal damage and multorgan involvement by myeloma-associated deposition disease also increase the risk for infections.\textsuperscript{40} Finally, MM affects older patients who frequently experience age-related frailty, geriatric conditions and physical dysfunctions making them more susceptible to infections (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{41}

Multiple myeloma patients require thorough infection monitoring and appropriate use of antibiotics (Table 3).\textsuperscript{42} There are only a few prospective studies evaluating the role of prophylactic antibiotics in MM patients. In a randomized, phase II study in 157 patients who underwent ASCT, the administration of ciprofloxacin and vancomycin reduced the incidence of neutropenic fever, without affecting, however, the total interval of hospitalization, time to engraftment, or all-cause mortality.\textsuperscript{43} In another study, 212 myeloma patients who received initial chemotherapy were randomized on a 1:1:1 basis to daily ciprofloxacin (500 mg twice daily), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (DS twice daily) or observation. The incidence of severe bacterial infections was similar among the three groups: 12.5%, 6.8% and 5.9%, respectively (P=0.218). Similarly, the incidence of any infection during the first two months of therapy was also comparable (20%, 23% and 22%, respectively; P=0.954).\textsuperscript{44}

Regarding the incidence of infections with different therapies, this has been reported to be 14% of patients treated with lenalidomide and dexamethasone in MM-009 and -010 trials\textsuperscript{45} and approximately 30% (grade 3 and 4) of patients treated with pomalidomide plus low-dose dexamethasone, mainly during the first three months of therapy.\textsuperscript{46} For this reason, routine antibiotic prophylaxis should be considered for the first three months of therapy with these IMiDs and is particularly recommended for patients with aggressive disease, history of infectious complications or neutropenia.\textsuperscript{47} The available data do not support the use of any specific antibiotic regimen to use and...
thus clinicians should follow their institutional guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis. In patients who receive pomalidomide, quinolones must be used with caution due to common metabolic pathways that can increase pomalidomide exposure.

The use of prophylactic immunoglobulin replacement has shown no advantage in reducing infection rates in newly diagnosed myeloma patients.\(^9^0\) Regarding vaccinations, myeloma patients show suboptimal antibody responses to several vaccines; the responses seem to be worse for polysaccharide than protein antigens.\(^9^1\) In addition, all patients who undergo allogeneic SCT should receive vaccinations for *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, pertussis, pneumococci, meningococci, tetanus, diphtheria, hepatitis A and B, measles, mumps and rubella, influenza, poliomyelitis, varicella-zoster virus, human papilloma virus, and tick-borne encephalitis with a particular focus on vaccination of patients with active chronic graft-versus-host disease (GvHD).\(^9^2\)

Recommendations: vaccination against influenza virus is appropriate and is recommended for both patients and their contacts. Moreover vaccination against *Streptococcus pneumonia* and *Haemophilus influenzae* is recommended, but efficacy for all vaccines is not guaranteed, due to suboptimal immune response (grade 1C). In general, live vaccines should be avoided in myeloma patients (grade 2C). Acidclor or valacyclovir for herpes-zoster virus prophylaxis is recommended for patients receiving proteasome inhibitor-based therapies (grade 1A) or during ASCT/allelogetic-SCT, mainly in those with positive serology (grade 1C). Antiviral drugs should be continued for six weeks after discontinuation of the proteasome inhibitor. Due to increased infection rate during lenalidomide or pomalidomide administration, antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended at least for the first three months of therapy (grade 2C). Prophylactic immunoglobulin replacement is not routinely recommended; however, it may be useful in a subset of patients with severe, recurrent bacterial infections and hypogammaglobulinaemia (grade 2C).

**Venous thromboembolism**

Myeloma itself, antimonial therapies, the presence of infections, the history of previous venous thromboembolism (VTE), immobility, obesity, paraplegia, ESA treatment, comorbidities, dehydration and renal failure are all important factors for the development of VTE. The incidence of VTE is approximately 8-22/1000 person years. Disease-related risk factors include the hyperviscosity, the inhibition of natural anticoagulants and the hypercoagulability status induced by inflammatory cytokines (i.e. increased von Willebrand factor, fibrinogen and factor VIII levels, acquired activated protein C resistance, decreased protein S levels, etc.).\(^9^3\) The incidence of VTE during frontline therapy is 1%-2% with conventional therapies such as melphalan and prednisone, and it is doubled by the use of doxorubicin or other chemotherapeutic agents, while the use of IMiDs in combination with dexamethasone or chemotherapeutic agents produces a VTE risk of up to 70% in the absence of anticoagulation.\(^9^4\) The risk for a VTE is higher during the first four months of therapy with lenalidomide or pomalidomide and then seems to be reduced.\(^9^5\) Aspirin and low molecular weight heparin


LMWH have been used in myeloma patients under IMiDs. In a prospective, randomized, study, which compared aspirin 100 mg/day and enoxaparin 40 mg/day in 342 newly diagnosed patients who received lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone induction and melphalan-prednisone Lenalidomide consolidation, the incidence of VTE was 2.3% in the aspirin group and 1.2% in the enoxaparin group. Thus, aspirin can be considered as adequate anticoagulation therapy in patients who have no or one risk factor for VTE (i.e. hyperviscosity, personal or family history of VTE, obesity (Body Mass Index ≥30), comorbidities: cardiac, diabetes, RI, chronic inflammatory disease, immobility, thrombophilias, concomitant presence of myeloproliferative disorders, hemoglobinopathies, recent surgery (within 6 weeks), medications: ESAs, hormone replacement therapy, tamoxifen/stilboestrol, dextrorubicin, high-dose steroids (≥480mg of dexamethasone/month). Otherwise LMWH or full-dose warfarin can be used. The risk for bleeding has also to be taken into account in the choice of anticoagulation.

**Recommendations:** patients who are due to start IMiD therapy should have a risk assessment for VTE and receive appropriate anticoagulation during the treatment duration (grade 1A). In these patients, aspirin (100 mg) is enough for VTE prophylaxis in low-risk patients (i.e. without risk factors, or only one myeloma/individual risk factor present), unless contraindicated (grade 1B). Otherwise, LMWH or full-dose warfarin has to be used (grade 1B). The use of LMWH has to be continued for at least four months and then patients may be switched to aspirin prophylaxis (grade 2C). Treatment of confirmed VTE has to be according to international or national guidelines (grade 1A). In cases of VTE, despite the use of full anticoagulation, the treating physician should consider the discontinuation of the responsible anti-myeloma drug (grade 2C).

**Pain management recommendations**

Pain is a considerable problem for many patients with MM. Regarding bone disease, the use of BP’s along with anti-myeloma therapy, radiation or balloon kyphoplasty in specific indications may control the pain of the patients. The treating physician should take into account that, in several patients, pain, and especially back pain, may be due to other reasons, and not to myeloma itself. Regarding the relief of pain using pain killers or other drugs, on the basis of available data we suggest the following: Paracetamol can be administered at a dose of up to 1 g qid for the control of mild pain (grade 1B). In general, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should be avoided in MM (grade 2C). Oral tramadol or codeine can be given for the control of mild-moderate pain (grade 1C). In cases of chronic moderate to severe pain, fentanyl or buprenorphine patches or oxycodone are recommended (grade 1B). For severe chronic pain, nervous block with anesthetic drugs can be performed, while neurolytic block using chemicals, heat and freezing, may produce long-lasting blockade with pain relief for weeks, months or indefinitely. For the management of acute severe pain, subcutaneous opioid (i.e. oxycodone or morphine injection) can be used for the rapid relief of symptoms (grade 2B). Patients on opioids should be also given laxatives (grade 1A). All patients with chronic pain may be also considered as candidates for calcium channel blockers (gabapentin or pregabaline), for sodium channel blocker (lidocaine, oxicarbazepine) or a serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors ( duloxetine or amitryptyline) (grade 1B).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, complications related to disease and/or anti-myeloma drugs contribute to increased mobility and mortality of myeloma patients. Furthermore, such complications also alter the performance status of the patients to define fit versus frail patients and consequently select and/or dose-reduce therapy (Figure 4). Therefore, the appropriate management of these complications is crucial for both patient quality of life and survival, and treating physicians must pay special attention to their management.
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